

St Matthew Academy

NON-EXAMINATION ASSESSMENT

Policy

Our motto is 'Let your light shine'. It is taken from St Matthew's Gospel and captures our belief in the uniqueness of each individual. Our aim is to ensure that the talents and strengths of each pupil are encouraged, developed and celebrated

Successful, confident learners

High expectations, outstanding achievements

Inclusive, caring, Catholic community

Nurturing talent, cultivating ambition

Excellence for all

Date of Approval	Autumn 2020
Review Date	Autumn 2021

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Ms M Baldwin
Exams officer line manager (Senior Leader with oversight of exams administration)	Ms S Wickliffe
Quality assurance lead/Lead internal verifier	Ms S Wickliffe
Senior Leader(s)	Ms E Thurston, Mr A Young, Ms S Guest, Ms C Heywood,
SENCo	Mr H Vyas
Exams officer	Ms F Walker

Contents

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments	2
What does this policy affect?	4
Purpose of the policy	4
What are non-examination assessments?	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and re	esponsibilities 4
1. The basic principles of staff roles and responsibilities in respect of NEA's	4
2. Task setting	5
3. Issuing of tasks	5
4. Task taking	6
4.1 Supervision	6
4.2 Advice and feedback	6
4.3 Resources	6
4.4 Word and time limits	6
4.5 Collaboration and group work	6
4.6 Authentication procedures	7
4.7 Presentation of work	7
4.8 Keeping materials secure	7
5. Task marking – externally assessed components	8
5.1 Conduct of externally assessed work	8
5.2 Submission of work	8
6. Task marking – internally assessed components	8
6.1 Marking and annotation	8
6.2 Internal standardisation	9
6.3 Submission of marks and work for moderation	9
6.4 Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	10
6.5 External moderation – the process	10
6.6 External moderation – feedback	10
Access arrangements	11
Special consideration and loss of work	11
Malpractice	11
Post-results services	12
Spoken language endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in Engla	ınd13
Management of issues and potential risks associated with NEA	14
Task Setting	14
Issuing of Tasks	15
Task taking	15
Task marking	18

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCSE, BTEC and vocational qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

(JCQ Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA 1)

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking. (<u>NEA 1)</u>

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles of staff roles and responsibilities in respect of NEA's

Head of centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non- examination

- assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non- examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication NEA to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

2. Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

3. Issuing of tasks

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times

4. Task taking

4.1 Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

4.2 Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

4.3 Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

4.4 Word and time limits

Subject teacher

Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

4.5 Collaboration and group work

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates

- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

4.6 Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - o ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - o signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

4.7 Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

4.8 Keeping materials secure

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, return internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or
 partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (reminds candidates of the
 contents of the JCQ document *Information for Candidates Social Media*)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and the appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of the encryption is suitable

5. Task marking – externally assessed components

5.1 Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

5.2 Submission of work

Subject teacher

• Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

6. Task marking – internally assessed components

6.1 Marking and annotation

Head of centre

Ensures where a teacher is teaching, preparing and assessing a candidate with whom they have a close
relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close
relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) a conflict of interest is declared
to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the
moderation sample or not

Subject head/lead

• Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

6.2 Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - o carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - o after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - o making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

6.3 Submission of marks and work for moderation

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external
 deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to
 the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

6.4 Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
 - If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

6.5 External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

6.6 External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u>
 <u>Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way
 of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has
 been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - o Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing nonexamination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the

head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u>
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Spoken language endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register Annual
Update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the
centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with NEA

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre Staff Malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow: • The current JCQ publications 'Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments' • The JCQ document 'Notice to Centres – Sharing NEA material and candidates work' www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments	
Candidate Malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: Submit any work which is not their own Make available their work to other candidates through any other medium Allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material Assist other candidates to produce work Use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution Submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement Include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media	

Task Setting

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted	IT Manager/
failure/corruption of task details	prior to start of course.	HoD/ EO
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date. Alternative IT system used to	
from the awarding body online	gain access.	
	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details.	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	HoD/ EO
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task.	
specification		
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria	HoD/Subject
the marking criteria and what	described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an	lead/subject
they need to do to gain credit	individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for	teacher
	candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan - Teaching staff extended	HOC/HoD
absence during the task setting	absence at key points in the exam cycle	
stage		

Issuing of Tasks

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set	HoD/SLT
	task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HoD/SLT/ HOC
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	HOC/HoD
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	HoD/EO/SLT

Task taking

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	HoD/EO/SLT
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course. Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates. Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply).	Subject Teacher/ HoD
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SLT/ HoD/ EO/HOC
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	EO/ SLT/ SENCo

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures. Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and signoff to confirm monitoring activity. Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component.	SLT/EO/HoD
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to	
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and signoff to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the	SLT/EO/HoD
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	SLT/ EO/
A third party claims that assistance was given to	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant.	HoD
candidates by the subject	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance	TIOD
teacher over and above that	given.	
allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted	
specification	to the awarding body.	
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment. Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document 'Information for candidates: non-examination assessments'. Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion.	Subject Teacher/ HoD
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re- draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment. Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document 'Information for candidates: non-examination assessments'. Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion.	Subject Teacher/ HoD
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up.	Subject Teacher/ HoD
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place.	HoD/EO
		<u> </u>

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	EO/SLT/
complete his/her non-	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream	DoL/ HOC
examination assessment(s)	education.	
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking	
	are made separately for the candidate.	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	Subject
resources between formally	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions.	Teacher/
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	HoD
	in and kept secure between formally	
	supervised sessions.	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions.	
A candidate fails to acknowledge	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Subject
sources on work that is	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	Teacher/
submitted for assessment	books, websites and audio/visual resources.	HoD/
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	EO
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately.	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate.	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Subject
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory. Where	Teacher/
word or time limits	limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from	HoD
	exceeding them. Candidates confirm/record any information	
Callabaration and arrays would	provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood.	
Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in	Awarding hady guidance cought where this issue remains	Cubicot
groups where the awarding body	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved.	Subject Teacher/
specification states this is not	unresolved.	-
permitted		HoD
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	Subject
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	Teacher/
submitted by a candidate for	work.	HoD/
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	SLT/
internal assessment	current JCQ document 'Information for candidates: non-	JL1/
Candidate plagiarises other	examination assessments'.	
Candidate plagiarises other material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need	
material	to do to comply with the regulations for non- examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document 'Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments'.	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment. A mark of	
	zero is recorded and submitted to the	
	awarding body.	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	EO/ Subject
authentication	current JCQ document 'Information for candidates: non-	Teacher/
statement/declaration	examination assessments'.	HoD
statement acciditation	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	'Information for candidates: non-examination assessments'.	
		L

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	
	a candidate for formal assessment.	
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	HoD/
sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work	SLT
	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures.	
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	Subject
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment.	Teacher/
cover sheet that is attached to		HoD
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	HoD/SLT
supervised sessions is not	JCQ publication 'Instructions for conducting non- examination	
securely stored	assessments'.	
	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	
	appropriate secure storage.	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	HoS/SLT
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course.	
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required.	
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	
electronically is not securely	JCQ publication 'Instructions for conducting non-examination	
stored	assessments'.	
	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT	
	manager ensures:	
	 Access to this material is restricted 	
	 Appropriate security safeguards are in place 	
	An effective back up strategy is employed so that an up to	
	date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained	
	Any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to	
	awarding body guidance to ensure the security of the data stored within it	

Task marking

Task Marking		
Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Externally assessed components		
A candidate is absent on the day	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	HoD/ EO
of the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate.	
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate.	
A candidate is absent on the day	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register.	Subject
of the examiner visit for an		Teacher
unacceptable reason		
Internally assessed components		
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Subject
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body.	Teacher/
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	HoD
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the	
	assessment criteria a	
	mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body.	
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Subject
their work for unforeseen reason	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility	Teacher/

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	HoD/
		SLT/ EO
The work of a candidate is lost or	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions	Subject
damaged	for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to	Teacher/
	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or	HoD/
	damaged work	SLT/ EO
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	Subject
discovered	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section	Teacher/
	9 Malpractice) are followed. Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice:	HoD/ SLT/ EO
	Policies and Procedures are followed. Appropriate internal	SLI/ EU
	disciplinary procedures are also followed.	
A teacher marks the work of a	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body	HoD/
candidate with whom they have	that a teacher is preparing/teaching said child at the start of the	EO/SLT/HOC
a close relationship e.g.	course	10/31/1100
members of their family (which	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether	
includes step-family, foster	part of the sample requested or not	
family and similar close		
relationships) or close friends		
and their immediate family (e.g.		
son/daughter)		
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	HoD/EO/SLT
submission of marks is required	granted	
for a legitimate reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility	
	and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment	
	extension	
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance.	HoD/EO
discovered that the wrong task	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication 'A guide to	SLT/HOC
was given to candidates	the special consideration process (chapter 2)', to determine	
	eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates.	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for	HoD/EO
appeal/request a review of the	their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding	SLT
marks awarded for their work by	body	JET
their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	
then teacher	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified	
	in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal	
	deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	
	Candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals	
	procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a	
	review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to	
	the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at	Subject
formal assessment not met by	the start of the course.	Teacher/
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood.	HoD/
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought	SLT/ EO
	to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	
	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can	
	be met. Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the	
	The accepted late for marking of a mark of zero submitted to the	<u> </u>

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	awarding body for the candidate.	
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each	Subject
and samples of candidates work	academic year.	Teacher/
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach.	HoD/SLT
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers.	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed.	
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	SLT/HoD